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Abstract

Background In patients with a thin soft tissue breast envelope, lower pole implant palpability is a postoperative sequela that concerns patients. Anatomically, the lower aspect of the breast near the inframammary fold lacks sufficient soft tissue to cover the breast implant after augmentation.

Methods A transareolar incision was made, and subcutaneous dissection was performed. The dissection first proceeded caudally to the lower aspect to the breast parenchyma. The dissection then changed direction and moved cephalad to the mid breast or nipple region. The fatty tissue and pectoralis muscle fascia were cut transversely at this level, and the dissection was reversed caudally in a subfascial plane to the new inframammary fold region. This maneuver created a retromammary adipofascial flap.

Results A total of 368 breast augmentations were performed in 184 patients. Breast implants were inserted in the subfascial plane in 40 patients (21.7%) and in the subpectoral–subfascial plane in 144 patients (78.3%). A total of 368 breast implants were inserted, including 140 smooth cohesive silicone implants (38.0%), 2 textured round implants (0.5%), and 226 anatomic-type implants (61.5%). A cadaveric dissection revealed that a retromammary adipofascial flap measuring 3–4 mm in thickness can be acquired. Capsular contracture occurred in six breasts (1.7%).

Conclusions During breast augmentation, an inferiorly based retromammary adipofascial flap can be created to help cover the lower pole of the breast from implant palpability. This is helpful especially in patients with thin skin, hypoplastic breasts, or constricted breasts.

Level of Evidence IV This journal requires that authors assign a level of evidence to each article. For a full description of these Evidence-Based Medicine ratings, please refer to the Table of Contents or the online Instructions to Authors www.springer.com/00266.
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Introduction

One significant aspect of breast augmentation is soft tissue coverage of the implant. Despite many advancements in the field of breast augmentation, the problem of inframammary or caudal breast region implant palpability...
remains to be solved. Historically, the position of the breast implant pocket and soft tissue coverage have gone through many changes. In 1962, Cronin and Gerow [1] first introduced silicone implant insertion in the subglandular plane. Although it had the advantages of fast recovery and natural breast contour, the drawbacks included capsular contracture, downward migration of the implant, and visibility of implant edges [2]. In 1968, Dempsey and Latham [3] reduced the visibility of implants by placing them under the subpectoral plane. However, undesirable implant displacement and/or distortion due to muscle actions occurred [4]. To overcome such drawbacks, Tebbetts [5], in 2006, described a dual-plane pocket technique that modified the subpectoral plane by changing the amount of submuscular and subglandular placement according to the patient’s breast shape. Even with this technique, undesirable implant animation deformity and lower pole implant palpability could not be prevented [4, 5].

In 2000, Graf et al. [6, 7] introduced a subfascial plane approach that elevated the pectoralis major muscle fascia. This method yielded less capsular contracture compared with implantation using the subglandular plane, less undesirable implant animation compared with use of the submuscular plane, and a natural breast shape and fast recovery. Although breast augmentation through the subfascial plane has become popular [8–17], caudal region palpability remains an issue for patients with thin soft tissue.

A significant proportion of women who desire breast augmentation have thin soft tissue. Many also have hypoplastic and constricted breasts. In such cases, traditional subfascial or dual (partial subpectoral) plane augmentation cannot provide sufficient lower pole coverage. Therefore, the implant is palpable in patients with thin soft tissue. In addition, for patients with hypoplastic and constricted breasts, the aesthetic results are unsatisfactory
because the skin and fascia of the lower pole are too tight. Therefore, the authors have introduced a retromammary adipofascial flap that utilizes retromammary fat under the breast tissue and fascia of the pectoralis major muscle to lengthen fascial coverage while transferring retromammary fat to increase soft tissue volume. This method could provide both fascial coverage and soft tissue padding of the lower pole of the breast. This can also be adopted in combination with a capsular flap or capsulofascial flap [18] in revision cases of implant palpability or rippling.

Materials and Methods

Between June 2012 and December 2015, 184 augmentation mammoplasties were performed using a retromammary adipofascial flap. Surgery was performed by the principal investigator (PKL). The incision was made using a transareolar-perinipple (areolar omega) zigzag approach [19]. Smooth cohesive silicone implants, textured round implants (in case of capsular contracture), and anatomic-type implants were used. If the pinch test of the breast
upper pole was ≥ 2.5 cm, subfascial plane insertion was selected. If the pinch test was < 2.5 cm, subpectoral–subfascial plane [13] insertion was selected. A retromammary adipofascial flap was used in every case.

**Operation Technique**

First, a transareolar-perinipple (areolar omega) zigzag incision [19] was made (Fig. 1). Subcutaneous dissection was performed just over the breast tissue in an inferomedial direction down to the caudal region of the breast tissue. From the caudal region of the breast tissue, the dissection changed direction and proceeded cephalad toward the level of the nipple or higher, depending on the needed length of fascia. The dissection plane was between the breast parenchyma and fatty tissue underneath. At the desired level of the breast, the fatty tissue and pectoralis muscle fascia were cut transversely, and the dissection was reversed caudally to the new inframammary fold (IMF) region (Figs. 2, 3). The dissection plane was now subfascial, creating an inferiorly based adipofascial flap. At the lower and lateral end of the pectoralis major muscle, the dissection was made to include fasciae of the rectus abdominis, external abdominal oblique, and serratus anterior muscles as one continuous fascial layer at the level of the new preoperatively determined IMF. To avoid injury to the lateral intercostal nerves, the lateral subfascial dissection was performed to the anterior axillary line. Medial dissection, either subpectorally or subfascially, was performed usually to the lateral border of the sternum, corresponding to 1.5 cm lateral to the mid sternum.

In cases in which implants were inserted in a subfascial pocket, the caudal end of the breast tissue and the cut end of the retromammary adipofascial flap were sutured (Fig. 4, left). In subpectoral–subfascial pocket insertion of small implants, the caudal end of the pectoralis major muscle and cut end of the retromammary adipofascial flap were sutured (Fig. 4, center), whereas the caudal end of the breast tissue and cut end of the retromammary adipofascial flap were sutured when large implants were inserted (Fig. 4, right). Figure 5 shows the final appearance after implant insertion according to each plane. After subcutaneous approximation, skin closure was performed with either interrupted sutures or skin glue. After applying tape on the external breast surface, a light compressive elastic bandage and a foam sponge dressing were applied (Supplement Videos 1 and 2 demonstrating the subfascial pocket and subpectoral–subfascial pocket dissections, respectively).

**Anatomical Study**

A cadaveric dissection was performed to confirm retromammary fat and fascia mobilization. Both breasts of a 30-year-old female cadaver were dissected at the Institution of Applied Anatomy, in Seoul, South Korea. A retromammary adipofascial flap was elevated in one breast, and a vertical cut was made on the other. The distribution and location of retromammary adipofascial tissue were confirmed and documented.

**Postoperative Follow-Up**

Every patient was discharged on the day of the procedure. Patients were followed up for 13–60 months (mean: 21 months). Capsular contracture was evaluated between 1 and 2 years postoperatively, based on the Baker grading system [20].
Results

Between June 2012 and December 2015, a total of 184 patients received augmentation mammoplasty with a retromammary adipofascial flap. Results were satisfactory (Figs. 6, 7). This method was also applicable to tubular breasts (Fig. 8). There were 170 (92.4%) primary augmentation patients and 14 (7.6%) secondary augmentation patients. The group that underwent subfascial plane insertion comprised 40 (21.7%) patients, whereas 144 (78.3%) patients comprised the subpectoral–subfascial plane insertion group. Of 368 inserted implants, 140 (38.0%) were smooth cohesive silicone implants, 2 (0.5%) were round textured implants, and 226 (61.5%) were anatomic-type implants. The implant sizes ranged from 120 to 375 cc (median: 290 cc). The average operation time was 3 h 30 min (range: 2 h 30 min–4 h).

Anatomical Result

During cadaveric dissection, the authors elevated the adipofascial flap with 3–4 mm in thickness. After a vertical cut was made, examination revealed that retromammary fat volume progressively increased caudally toward the IMF (Fig. 9).

Complications

Complications were measured based on the findings in each breast. There were 21 surgical complications (5.7%) (Table 1). Clinically significant capsular contracture, defined as Baker grade III or IV [20, 21], occurred in six breasts (1.7%) in four patients. Among the six, five breasts (1.4%) had Baker class III and one breast (0.3%) had Baker class IV. Every incident occurred with the use of anatomic-type implants. Five patients (1.4%) showed implant palpability, and two patients (0.5%) showed bottoming out.
Implant malpositioning where the implant migrated upwardly occurred in one patient (0.3%). Mild infection, which resolved with antibiotics alone, occurred in four patients (1.0%), and one patient (0.3%) developed hematoma (Table 1).

Discussion

Despite the number of advancements in breast augmentation, improving implant palpability at the caudal region of the breast in patients with thin soft tissue remains a challenge. In addition, constricted or hypoplastic breasts that have thin and tight soft tissue, commonly found in Asian women, further complicate the issue. In these patients, caudal expansion of the breast is crucial for allowing adequate tissue coverage of the implant and preventing a flattened caudal appearance. Excessive stretching of the caudal region soft tissue could result in implant palpability, visibility, and rippling.

Other methods have been advocated to increase caudal region coverage of implants. The use of allogenic tissue (e.g., acellular dermal matrix) and autogenic tissue (e.g., fat grafting) has been proposed [22–28]. Acellular dermal matrices can be expensive and can cause infection and seroma formation. Fat grafting of the caudal region of the breast can lead to unpredictable absorption rates, fat necrosis, calcification, and donor site morbidity [28–31]. In secondary operations, the utility of a capsule flap is limited and difficult due to the stiff nature of scar tissue, especially when there is severe capsular contracture (Baker grade III or IV) [18].

Our technique utilizes the retromammary fascia and fat to support and provide extra padding for implant palpability. By mobilizing the lower half of the fascia and fat, we convert it to an adipofascial flap to increase surface area.

Fig. 7 Clinical result of a 30-year-old female patient. (Above) preoperative view. (Below) postoperative view at 1-year follow-up. Anatomic-type implants were inserted (right breast, 310 cc; left breast, 335 cc) in the subfascial pocket.
and tissue coverage at the caudal region of the breast. On the contrary, performing complete subfascial elevation does not expand the caudal breast because the fascia at this region is relatively dense due to aggregation or overlap of the rectus abdominis fascia, external oblique fascia, and serratus anterior muscle fascia with the pectoralis muscle fascia. Therefore, unless these fasciae are cut longitudinally, the fascia would not expand radially. In addition, longitudinal fascial division will not cephalically increase the caudal region of the breast soft tissue envelope. Our technique has the advantage of being autologous and durable without causing donor site morbidity. We believe this may have a prophylactic effect in preventing bottoming out of the implant and can resolve problems such as implant palpability and rippling of the lower pole in primary and secondary breast augmentation.

After subfascial breast augmentation was first introduced by Graf et al. [6] in 2000, the use of pectoralis fascia has gained popularity, but it has also created debates about its usefulness and durability. Studies have revealed that the thickness of the pectoralis major fascia ranges from 0.20 to 1.14 mm [32, 33]. The fasciae of the rectus abdominis, external oblique, and serratus anterior muscles were reported to be equal or thinner than the fascia of the pectoralis major muscle [31]. However, the overlapping structure enables surgeons to elevate and use the durable and relatively thick fascia as a caudal hinge of the adipofascial flap. Although a certain degree of difference might exist according to body mass index, retromammary fat elevation within the fascia could provide additional thickness. Compared with free fat grafting, this flap can provide sufficient stable coverage because of its vascularized nature. Furthermore, in secondary operations, this flap can provide a sling and soft tissue augmentation when accompanying a capsular flap.

Fig. 8 Clinical result of a 32-year-old female patient. (Above) preoperative view. (Below) postoperative view at 1-year follow-up. Anatomic-type implants were inserted (right breast, 270 cc; left breast, 255 cc) in the subfascial pocket.
The subfascial plane can be reached through transaxillary, inframammary, and periareolar approaches [6–17]. The periareolar approach facilitates elevation of the rectus abdominis, external abdominal oblique, and serratus anterior muscle fasciae in one sheet. This is because of its proximity to the entire breast circumferentially. However, the periareolar approach is technically difficult in patients with areolar diameters ≤3.5 cm. Our areolar omega zig-zag incision technique allows for expansion of the areolar opening so that surgery can be performed with ease even when the areolar diameter is small [19].

Capsular contracture is one of the most significant complications in breast augmentation. It has been postulated that breast glandular tissue manipulation increases the risk of capsular contracture. This may be due to microorganisms residing in glandular tissue [34–36]. However, dissecting in a plane between the subcutaneous tissue and the glandular tissue does not violate the breast glandular tissue, and thus, ductal tissue is not severed [19]. As such, the capsular contracture rate remained low (1.7%) in our series. We believe that meticulous hemostasis and avoidance of entering glandular tissue helped minimize capsular contracture.

In cases of narrow breast diameter, such as tuberous breasts and hypoplastic breasts, or when patients desire implants larger than their breast diameter, a longitudinal division of the adipofascial flap can be performed to expand the lower pole radially. It is important not to dissect caudally beyond the new IMF during fascial elevation in the lower portion of the breast. If it is unclear whether the new IMF has been violated, it is safer to fix the fascia to the muscle to prevent blunting of the IMF. Our technique is especially useful for tuberous breasts, as they can be corrected without any glandular manipulation, scoring, or

Table 1 Complication rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capsular contracture</th>
<th>360 (97.8%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baker II</td>
<td>2 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker III</td>
<td>5 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker IV</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant palpability</td>
<td>5 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottoming out</td>
<td>2 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant malposition</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>4 (1.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoma or seroma</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
division (Fig. 6). The adipofascial flap that is raised from the mid to the upper breast region increases lower pole soft tissue coverage not only vertically but also radially. As mentioned above, if further radial expansion is needed, a vertical cut of the adipofascia can be performed. Thus, with no glandular cutting or scoring, we did not experience any increases in capsular contracture in tuberous breasts when compared with non-tuberous breasts.

This study has a number of limitations. First, there was no comparison of data with other procedures. The authors performed augmentation mammoplasty using only this technique, and there was a limit in setting and comparing a control group. Second, this technique is applicable only when using transareolar or periareolar incisions that the authors use. In these cases, nipple-areolar sensory preservation should be ensured. The transareolar-periareolar (areolar omega) zigzag incision in an oblique direction helps preserve the nipple sensation while making the scar less noticeable [19]. If the scar becomes wider or brighter, it can be visible, but it can be easily resolved by a tattoo. The third is the short follow-up period. An average of 2 years is not enough to assess complications such as capsular contracture.

Conclusion

The application of a retromammary adipofascial flap is an effective method of producing natural breast shape and providing additional soft tissue to the caudal breast region. Autologous pedicalized fasciae and retromammary fat structurally support and increase volume to expand and pad the caudal breast. Therefore, rippling and implant palpability of the caudal region are improved. This is especially useful in patients with thin soft tissue with constricted, tight, or hypoplastic breasts.
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